Edge features and crosslinguistic variation

Jairo Nunes

Universidade de São Paulo

19th Workshop on Syntax, Semantics and Phonology Nantes Université, 29/9/23

Goal:

To show that much of the crosslinguistic variation involving *wh*-movement may be determined by the features that trigger successive cyclic movement (*edge features* – *EFs*)

Basic Assumptions:

- . Syntactic movement is feature-driven (e.g. Chomsky 1995) →
 - . intermediate steps of successive cyclic movement are feature-driven

- . Formal features may be intrinsically valued or unvalued regardless of their interpretability (e.g. Pesetsky and Torrego 2007) \rightarrow
 - . an unvalued feature must be licensed by a corresponding valued feature
 - . an uninterpretable valued feature must be licensed by a corresponding interpretable valued feature

Basic Assumptions:

. Chomsky (2008): traces don't count for minimality purposes (only the head of the chain does)

(1)
$$[\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} \dots \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \dots t_{\mathbf{i}} \dots t_{\mathbf{k}} \dots]$$
 (3) $*[\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \dots \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} \dots t_{\mathbf{k}} \dots]$ (2) $*[\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \dots \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} \dots t_{\mathbf{k}} \dots]$

. <u>Reinterpretation</u> (Nunes 2021b, 2022): if a given element has an unvalued feature, it does not qualify as a proper intervener for purposes of minimality computations.

(4)
$$[\mathbf{X}_{\sqrt{[\mathbf{F}:val]}} \dots \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{F}:u]} \dots]$$
 (5) a. $[\mathbf{Y}_{k} \dots \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{F}:u]} \dots t_{k} \dots]$ b. $*[\mathbf{Y}_{k} \dots \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{F}:val]} \dots t_{k} \dots]$

Goal: To argue that much of the crosslinguistic variation involving *wh*-movement may follow from the answers to (i)-(iii):

- (i) Where is the edge feature EF (i.e. the feature that triggers successive cyclic A'-movement) located?
- (ii) Is EF obligatorily or optionally associated with its lexical host?
- (iii) Is EF intrinsically valued or unvalued?

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

 $[_{vP} Mary [_{v}, v saw what]]$

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

 $[_{vP} \text{Mary} [_{v}, v_{EPP} \text{ saw what}]]$

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[v_P] what [Mary [v_P] volume [v_P] saw [v_P]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[CP that [TP Mary [VP what [VV VVEPP saw t]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

 $[_{CP} that_{EPP} [_{TP} Mary [_{vP} what [_{v}, v_{VEPP} saw t]]]]]$

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

 $[_{CP}$ what that $_{VEPP}$ $[_{TP}$ Mary $[_{vP}$ t $[_{v'}$ v_{VEPP} saw t]]]]]

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[_{vP} John [_{v} say [_{CP} what that _{vEPP} [_{TP} Mary [_{vP} t [_{v}, v_{vEPP} saw t]]]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[_{vP} John [v_{EPP} say [_{CP} what that _{VEPP} [_{TP} Mary [_{vP} t [_{v}, v_{VEPP} saw t]]]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[v_{\text{VP}}] = [v_{\text{VEPP}}] = [v_{\text{VEPP}}] = [v_{\text{VEPP}}] = [v_{\text{VP}}] = [v_{\text{VP
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[CP did-Q [TP John [vP what [v vVEPP say [CP t that VEPP TP Mary [vP t [v vVEPP saw t]]]]]]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[_{CP} \operatorname{did-} \mathbf{Q}_{EPP} [_{TP} \operatorname{John} [_{vP} \operatorname{what} [_{v}, v_{VEPP} \operatorname{say} [_{CP} t \operatorname{that}_{VEPP} ]_{CP}]]]]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Chomsky (2000): after a phase is completed, its head may optionally be assigned an EPP-type of feature, which then attracts a local *wh*-element.
- (6) What did John say that Mary saw?

```
[_{\text{CP}} what did-Q_{\text{VEPP}} [_{\text{TP}} John [_{vP} t [_{v}, v_{\text{VEPP}} say [_{\text{CP}} t that _{\text{VEPP}} [_{\text{TP}} Mary [_{vP} t [_{v}, v_{\text{VEPP}} saw t]]]]]]]]
```

- 2.1. Edge features on phase heads
- . Problem: overgeneration/lookahead (e.g. Bošković 2007):
- (7) $[_{vP} \text{ Mary } v_{EPP} \text{ bought what}]$

- . Is EPP-assignment to v in (7) licit?
 - . YES, if *John* is the matrix subject in (8a)
 - . NO, if who is the matrix subject in (8b)

- (8) a. What did John say that Mary bought?
 - b. *Who said that Mary what bought?

- 2.2. Edge features on moving elements
 - . Bošković (2007):
- (i) the uninterpretable feature that triggers successivecyclic movement (uF) is hosted by the moving element;

(ii) *uF* must function as a probe in order to be licensed;

- (iii) crosslinguistic variation: a. Bulgarian wh-phrases: uF
 - b. Korean wh-phrases: iF
 - c. English wh-phrases: (uF)

- 2.2. Edge features on moving elements
- (8) a. What does John think that Mary bought?b. *Who thinks that Mary what bought?

- (9)a. [Mary v bought what] . no uF on $what \rightarrow$. no wh-movement \rightarrow
 - . Q cannot have its wh-feature checked (PIC):
 - b. *[does-Q_{wh} [John think Mary bought what]]

- 2.2. Edge features on moving elements
- (8) a. What does John think that Mary bought? b. *Who thinks that Mary what bought?

(10)a. [Mary v bought what_{uF}] \rightarrow uF must function as a probe:

- b. $\sqrt{\text{what}_{uF}}$ does- Q_{wh} [John [t think [t that [Mary [t bought t]]]]]] (cf. (8a))
- c. * $[_{vP} v \text{ think } [_{CP} \text{ that } [_{TP} \text{Mary } [_{vP} \text{ what}_{uF} \text{ bought } t]]]]$ (cf. (8b))

2.2. Edge features on moving elements

Problem: . wh-movement may depend on a local phase head

(11) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?

(12) a. [$_{CP}$ that [$_{TP}$ who_{uF} [t saw Mary]]]

b. $\sqrt{\text{[who_{uF} do-Q_{wh} [you [_{vP} t [you think [_{CP} t that [_{vP} t [_{v}, v saw Mary]]]]]]]]]]]}$



2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> (Nunes 2021a)

An edge feature EF may be lexically encoded on:

(i) wh-elements or (ii) phase heads (or a head of the extended projetion of the phase head).

If (ii) obtains, the phase head may assign EF to the closest wh-element in its probe domain:

(13) [...
$$Ph_{EF}$$
 ... [... WH ...]] $\rightarrow_{EF assignment}$ [... Ph ... [... WH_{EF} ...]]

2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements

. English:

EF is lexically optional on **phase heads** (Ph_(EF))

. Brazilian Portuguese:

EF is lexically optional on *wh*-elements (WH_(EF))

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (BP: WH_(EF))
- (14) a. O João acha que a Maria viu quem? the J. thinks that the M. saw who
 - b. Quem o João acha que a Maria viu? who the J. thinks that the M. saw
 - c. *O João acha que a Maria quem viu? the J. thinks that the M. who saw 'Who does João think that Maria saw?

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (BP: WH_(EF))
- (14) a. O João acha que a Maria viu quem? the J. thinks that the M. saw who
 - b. Quem o João acha que a Maria viu? who the J. thinks that the M. saw
 - c. *O João acha que a Maria quem viu? the J. thinks that the M. who saw 'Who does João think that Maria saw?
- . Quem without EF: no wh-movement [Q [o João [acha [que a Maria [viu quem]]]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (BP: WH_(EF))
- (14) a. O João acha que a Maria viu quem? the J. thinks that the M. saw who
 - b. Quem o João acha que a Maria viu? who the J. thinks that the M. saw
 - c. *O João acha que a Maria quem viu? the J. thinks that the M. who saw 'Who does João think that Maria saw?
- . Quem with EF \rightarrow full wh-movement: OK [Quem_{VEF} Q [o João [t acha [t que a Maria [t viu t]]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (BP: WH_(EF))
- (14) a. O João acha que a Maria viu quem? the J. thinks that the M. saw who
 - b. Quem o João acha que a Maria viu? who the J. thinks that the M. saw
 - c. *O João acha que a Maria quem viu? the J. thinks that the M. who saw 'Who does João think that Maria saw?
- . Quem with EF → partial wh-movement: *
 *[Q [o João [acha [que a Maria [quem_{EF} viu t]]]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (En: Ph_(EF))
- (15) a. *Does John think that Mary saw who?
 - b. *Does John think that Mary who saw?
 - c. Who does John think that Mary saw?

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
 - . Behaving alike: upward movement of objects (En: Ph_(EF))
- (15) a. *Does John think that Mary saw who?
 - b. *Does John think that Mary who saw?
 - c. Who does John think that Mary saw?
- . If the lower v does not have EF \rightarrow no wh-movement
 - \rightarrow Q cannot check its *wh*-feature
 - *[Q_{wh} [John thinks [(that) Mary [viu who]]]]

 *PIC______

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
 - . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (En: Ph_(EF))
- (15) a. *Does John think that Mary saw who?
 - b. *Does John think that Mary who saw?
 - c. Who does John think that Mary saw?
- . If the lower v has $EF \rightarrow EF$ assignment

 $[_{vP} \text{ Mary } [_{v'}, v_{EF} \text{ saw who}]] \rightarrow [_{vP} \text{ Mary } [_{v'}, v \text{ saw who}_{EF}]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (En: Ph_(EF))
- (15) a. *Does John think that Mary saw who?b. *Does John think that Mary who saw?c. Who does John think that Mary saw?
- . partial wh-movement: *

*[does+Q_{wh} [John [think [that [Mary [who_{EF} saw t]]]]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 . <u>Behaving alike</u>: upward movement of objects (En: Ph_(EF))
- (15) a. *Does John think that Mary saw who?
 - b. *Does John think that Mary who saw?
 - c. Who does John think that Mary saw?
- full wh-movement: OK

 $[\mathbf{who}_{\sqrt{\mathbf{EF}}} \mathbf{does} + \mathbf{Q}_{\sqrt{\mathbf{wh}}} [\mathbf{John} [t \mathbf{think} [t \mathbf{that} [\mathbf{Mary} [t \mathbf{saw} t]]]]]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **parasitic gaps**
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?

(Nunes and Santos 2009)

(17) a. $\sqrt{[\text{Que livro}]_i}$ você recomendou t_i [depois que COM prou PG_i ON tem which book you recommended after that bought yesterday b. #[Você recomendou [que livro]_i [depois que COM prou PG_i ON tem]] you recommended which book after that bought yesterday 'Which book did you recommend after buying yesterday?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- [vP my vEF reading [which paper] first]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- [vP my v reading [which paper]EF first]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- [$_{vP}$ [which paper] $_{EF}$ [my v reading t first]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (17) file $[_{vP}[which paper]_{EF}[my v reading t first]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (27) [file [which paper]_{EF}] $[_{vP}t$ [my v reading t first]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (17) [_{vP} [_{vP} you v file [which paper]_{EF}] [_{PP} without [my [_{vP} t [my v reading t first]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (17) $[_{CP} [which paper]_{\sqrt{EF}} did+Q [_{TP} you [_{vP} t [[you v file t] [_{PP} without [my [_{vP} t [my v reading t first]]]]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (18) [file [which paper]_{EF}] $[_{vP}t$ [my v reading t first]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving alike</u>: **sideward movement** of objects (Nunes 1995, 2001, 2004, Nunes and Uriagereka 2000, Hornstein 2001, Hornstein and Nunes 2002)
- (16) a. [Which paper]_i did you file t_i without my reading PG_i first? b. *Who filed [which paper]_i without my reading PG_i first?
- (18) $*[_{CP} \text{ who } Q[_{TP} \text{ who } [_{vP}[_{vP} \text{ who } v \text{ filed } [\text{which paper}]_{EF}]]$ $[_{PP} \text{ without } [\text{my } [_{vP} t \text{ } [\text{my } v \text{ reading } t \text{ first}]]]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects (Hornstein 2001, Boeckx, Hornstein and Nunes 2010, Hornstein and Nunes 2014)
 - . Adjunct control in English → subject control:
- (19) a. [Which man]_i greeted [which woman]_k after [ec_{i/*k} entering the room]
 - b. [Which woman]_k did John_i greet t_k after [$ec_{i/*k}$ entering the room]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
 - . Adjunct control in Brazilian Portuguese:
- . If the matrix object is in $situ \rightarrow subject$ control

(20) [Os alunos]_i entrevistaram [que professores]_k

the students interviewed which professors

antes de ec_{i/*k} sair de férias?

before of leave of vacation

'Which professors did the students interview before leaving on vacation?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . Adjunct control in Brazilian Portuguese (Rodrigues 2004, Nunes 2014): . If the matrix object undergoes wh-movement → subject or object control
- (21) [[que professores]_k [os alunos]_i entrevistaram *t* which professors the students interviewed antes de *ec*_{i/k} sair de férias? before of leave of vacation '[Which professors]_k did [the students]_i interview before they_{i/k} left on vacation?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in English</u> (Hornstein 2001):
- (22) Who_i greeted John_k after [$ec_{i/*k}$ entering the room]

(23)
$$N = \{ John_1, v_1, greet_0 ... \}$$

 $K = greet$ $L = [v_P who [v_P v entering the room]]$

Merge-over-Move

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in English</u> (Hornstein 2001):
- (22) Who_i greeted John_k after [$ec_{i/*k}$ entering the room]

```
(23) N = \{ John_0, v_1, greet_0 ... \}

K = [greet John] L = [v_P who [v_P v entering the room]]
```

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . Derivation of adjunct control in English (Hornstein 2001): (22) Who_i greeted John_k after [$ec_{i/*k}$ entering the room]

```
(23) N = \{John_{0}, v_{0}, greet_{0}...\}

K = [who [v greet John]] L = [v_{p}t]_{v} v entering the room]]
```

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in English</u> (Hornstein 2001):
- (22) Who_i greeted John_k after [$ec_{i/*k}$ entering the room]
- (23) $[_{CP}$ who -ed $[_{vP}$ $[_{vP}$ t v greet John] [after t entering the room]]]
 - → subject control

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
 - . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):
- (24)a. Ela_i cumprimentou $quem_k$ depois de [$ec_{i/*k}$ entrar na sala] she greeted who after of enter in-the room 'Who did she greet after entering the room?'
 - b. $Quem_k$ ela_i cumprimentou t_k depois de [$ec_{i/k}$ entrar na sala] who she greeted after of enter in-the room 'Who_k did she_i greet after she_i/he_k entered the room?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
 - . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):

```
(25) N = \{ela, ...\}
she
K = \text{cumprimentou}
L = [\{quem/quem_{EF}\}\} entrar na sala]
greeted who enter in-the room
```

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):

(25)
$$N = \{ela, ...\}$$
she
 $K = cumprimentou$ $L = [quem entrar na sala]$
greeted who enter in-the room

. If *quem* does not have $EF \rightarrow Merge-over-Move$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):

```
(25) N = \{ela_0, ...\}

her

K' = [cumprimentou ela]

cumprimentou ela]

greeted

her

cumprimentou ela

cumprimentou

cumprimentou
```

. If *quem* does not have $EF \rightarrow Merge-over-Move$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in BP</u> (Nunes 2021a):

(26)

[CP Q [TP quem [[t cumprimentou ela] [depois de t entrar na sala]]]] who greeted she after of enter in-the room 'Who greeted her after entering the room?'

→ subject control

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):

(25)
$$N = \{ela, ...\}$$
she
 $K = cumprimentou$ $L = [quem_{EF}]$ entrar na sala]
greeted who enter in-the room

. If quem has $EF \rightarrow Merge-over-Move$ is preempted

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements . Behaving differently: sideward movement of subjects
- . Derivation of adjunct control in BP (Nunes 2021a):

```
(27) N = \{ela, ...\}
           she
     K = [cumprimentou\ quem_{EF}] L = [t\ entrar\ na\ sala]
           greeted
                           who
```

enter in-the room

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in BP</u> (Nunes 2021a): (28)
- *[CP Q [TP ela [[cumprimentou quemer]] [depois de *t* entrar na sala she greeted who after of enter in-the room *'Who; did she greet after he; entered the room?'

wh-in $situ \rightarrow *object control reading$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: **sideward movement** of subjects
- . <u>Derivation of adjunct control in BP</u> (Nunes 2021a): (29)

[CP $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [TP $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [Cumprimentou t] [depois $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [TP $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [Cumprimentou t] [depois $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ entrar $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ after $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [TP $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ [Cumprimentou t] [depois $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}Q$ entrar $quem_{\sqrt{EF}}$

wh-movement $\rightarrow \sqrt{\text{object control reading}}$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- . BP (WH_(EF)): no subject-object asymmenty
- (30) a. O João disse que quem criticou a Maria? the J. said that who criticized the M. a'. [Q [o João disse [que quem criticou a Maria]]]
 - b. Quem o João disse que criticou a Maria? who the J. said that criticized the M.
 - b'. [_{CP} **quem**_{√EF} Q [o João [*t* disse [que *t* criticou a Maria]]] 'Who did João say criticized Maria?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>
 - . Behaving differently: upward movement of subjects
- . English (Ph_(EF)): that-trace effect
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?

- (32) [$_{vP}$ who [$_{v}$, v_{EF} saw Mary]]
 - . Nonstarter: a phase head can only assign EF to an element in its probe domain

2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects

- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (33) $\left[\underset{\text{CP}}{\mathsf{C}} \right] \left[\underset{\text{TP}}{\mathsf{C}} \right] \left[\underset{v}{\mathsf{P}} t \left[\underset{v}{\mathsf{V}} v \text{ saw Mary} \right] \right]$

- . Allomorphy involving $C \rightarrow \text{presence/absence}$ of EF on C:
- (34) a. C_{that}: is <u>not</u> specified for EF.
 - b. C_{\emptyset} : is optionally specified for EF.

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) a. C_{that}: is <u>not</u> specified for EF.

- (35) [$_{CP}$ that [who [$_{vP}$ t [$_{v'}$ v saw Mary]]]]
 - no EF-assignment \rightarrow *who* cannot move:

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) a. C_{that}: is <u>not</u> specified for EF.

(35) $[_{vP} \text{ Peter } v_{EF} \text{ said } [_{CP} \text{ that } [\text{who } [_{vP} t \text{ } [v \text{ saw Mary }]_{vP} t]])$

. no EF assigment \rightarrow *who* cannot move

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) a. C_{that}: is <u>not</u> specified for EF.

(35) *[$_{CP}$ do+ Q_{wh} [you [$_{vP}$ v think [$_{CP}$ that [who [$_{vP}$ t [$_{v'}$ v saw Mary]]]]]]]]]

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) b. C_{\emptyset} : is optionally specified for EF
- (36) $\left[\underset{\text{CP}}{\mathsf{C}_{\emptyset\text{-}\mathbf{EF}}} \left[\text{who } \left[\underset{\text{vP}}{\mathsf{t}} \left[\text{v saw Mary} \right] \right] \right] \right]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: upward movement of subjects
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) b. C_{\emptyset} : is optionally specified for EF
- (36) $\left[\operatorname{CP} C_{\emptyset} \left[\mathbf{who}_{\mathbf{EF}} \left[\operatorname{vP} t \left[\mathbf{v saw Mary} \right] \right] \right] \right]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: <u>upward movement of subjects</u>
- (31) Who do you think (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) b. C_{\emptyset} : is optionally specified for EF
- (36) $[_{CP} who_{\sqrt{EF}} do + Q_{\sqrt{wh}} [you [_{vP} t [_{you} v think [_{CP} t C_{\emptyset} [t [_{vP} t [_{v}, v saw Mary]]]]]]]]]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u> . <u>Behaving differently</u>: <u>upward movement of subjects</u>
- (31) Who do you think (that) Peter said (*that) saw Mary?
- (34) b. C_{\emptyset} : is optionally specified for EF
- (36) $[_{CP} \text{ who}_{\sqrt{EF}} \text{do} + Q_{\sqrt{wh}} [\text{you} [_{vP} t [_{you} v \text{ think} [_{CP} t \{ \text{that}, C_{\emptyset} \}]]]]]]]]]]]]]$ [Peter $[_{vP} t [_{vP} t [_$

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . How is this approach different from Rizzi's (2006) Subject Criterion, for instance?
- . Different predictions regarding ECP effects involving objects:
 - . Rizzi and Schlonsky (2007): "Movement of objects and other complements is not similarly constrained since there is no Object Criterion, parallel to the Subject Criterion."
 - . Nunes (2021a): Subjects are not especial; object extraction may also be conditioned by allomorphy involving *v*:
- (37) a. $[\mathbf{C} [_{TP} \mathbf{wh}_{SU} ... [_{v}, t v [_{VP} V DP]]]]$ b. $[_{vP} DP [_{v}, v [_{VP} V \mathbf{wh}_{OB}]]]$

- 2.3. Edge features on either phase heads or moving elements
- . Saddy (1991): Bahasa Indonesian is an optional *wh-in situ* language, whose *wh*-elements may move to a focus position preceding the particle *yang*:
- (38) Bahasa Indonesia (Saddy 1991)
 - a. Siapa men-cintai Sally (wh-subject in situ) who trans-loves Sally
 - b. Siapa yang men-cintai Sally (moved wh-subject) who foc trans-loves Sally 'Who loves Sally?'
 - c. Sally men-cintai siapa (wh-object in situ)
 Sally trans-loves who
 - d. Siapa yang Sally O-cintai (moved wh-object) who foc Sally loves 'Who does Sally love?'

- 2.3. Edge features on either <u>phase heads</u> or <u>moving elements</u>

 Bahasa Indonesia:
- (39) a. v_{men} : is <u>not</u> specified for EF b. v_{\emptyset} : is specified for EF

(40) $[_{vP} DP [_{v}, men - [_{VP} V wh]]] \rightarrow$ No EF assigment $\rightarrow *wh$ -movement

(41) a. $[_{vP} DP [_{v}, \emptyset -_{EF} [_{VP} V wh]]] \rightarrow_{EF assignment}$ b. $[_{vP} DP [_{v}, \emptyset - [_{VP} V wh_{EF}]]] \rightarrow \sqrt{wh}$ -movement

- . If the relation between interpretability and intrinsic valuation is not biconditional (e.g. Pesetsky and Torrego 2007) →
 - . **[EF:val]** ([EF:Top]; [EF:Q]; [EF:Rel]; [EF:Foc], etc.)
 - . [EF:u]

- . An unvalued EF must be valued by a valued feature
- . An EF intrinsically valued as x must be checked by an interpretable feature valued as x

3.1. Intrinsically valued edge features on phase heads

```
(42) a. [P_{hase1} \dots P_{1[EF:Q]} [\dots WH \dots]] \rightarrow_{EF assignment}
b. [P_{hase1} \dots Ph_1 [\dots WH_{[EF:Q]} \dots]]
c. [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]
```

```
(43) a. [_{Phase2} \dots Ph_{2[EF:Q]} \dots [_{Phase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]
b. *[_{Phase2} \dots Ph_2 \dots [_{Phase1} WH_{[EF:Q],[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]
```

8 Last Resort

3.1. Intrinsically valued edge features on phase heads

Que-qui effects in long distance extraction (e.g. Kayne 1975):

(44) I'homme que je pense **que/*qui** Jean croit **qui/*que** viendra the-man that I think that/Qui Jean believes Qui /that will.come 'the man that I think that Jean believes will come'

(45)a. qui: is specified for a valued instance of EF

([EF:Q], [EF:Rel], ...)

b. que: is not specified for EF

3.1. Intrinsically valued edge features on phase heads

Que-qui effects in long distance extraction (e.g. Kayne 1975):

(44) I'homme que je pense que/*qui Jean croit qui/*que viendra the-man that I think that/Qui Jean believes Qui /that will.come 'the man that I think that Jean believes will come'

(46) a. $[_{CP} \mathbf{qui}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Rel}]} [_{TP} \mathbf{OP} \dots]] \rightarrow_{EF \text{ assignment}}$ b. $[_{CP} \mathbf{qui} [_{TP} \mathbf{OP}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Rel}]} \dots]]$ c. $[_{CP} \mathbf{OP}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Rel}]} \mathbf{qui} [_{TP} t \dots]]$

3.1. Intrinsically valued edge features on phase heads

Que-qui effects in long distance extraction (e.g. Kayne 1975):

(44) I'homme que je pense **que/*qui** Jean croit qui/*que viendra the-man that I think that/Qui Jean believes Qui /that will.come 'the man that I think that Jean believes will come'

```
(47) a. [_{CP} \mathbf{qui}_{[EF:Rel]}[_{TP} \dots [_{vP} \mathbf{OP}_{[EF:Rel]} \dots [_{CP} t \mathbf{qui} [_{TP} t \dots ]]]]]
b. *[_{CP} \mathbf{qui} [_{TP} \dots [_{vP} \mathbf{OP}_{[EF:Rel],[EF:Rel]} \dots [_{CP} t \mathbf{qui} [_{TP} t \dots ]]]]]]
```

(48) a.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots P_{2[EF:u]} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]$$

(48) a.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots P_{2[EF:u]} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]$$

b.
$$[P_{\text{hase2}} \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[\text{EF:Q}]}} \dots [P_{\text{hase1}} WH_{[\text{EF:Q}]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]]$$

(48) a.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots P_{2[EF:u]} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]$$

b.
$$[P_{\text{hase2}} \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} \dots [P_{\text{hase1}} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]$$

c.
$$[P_{hase2}] WH_{[EF:O]} [\dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:O]}} \dots [P_{hase1}] t [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots t]$$

(48) a.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots P_{1} \dots P_{2[EF:u]} \dots P_{1} \dots P$$

- b. $[P_{hase2} \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]]$
- c. $[P_{hase2}] WH_{[EF:O]} [\dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:O]}} \dots [P_{hase1}] t [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots t]$
- d. $[P_{hase-n}] WH_{\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} Q \dots [P_{hase2}] t \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} \dots [P_{hase1}] t [\dots]$

(48) a.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots P_{2[EF:u]} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]$$

b.
$$[P_{hase2} \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} \dots [P_{hase1} WH_{[EF:Q]} [\dots Ph_1 \dots t \dots]]]]$$

c.
$$[P_{hase2}] WH_{[EF:O]} [... Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:O]}} ... [P_{hase1}] t [... Ph_1 ... t ...]$$

d.
$$[P_{hase-n}] WH_{\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} Q \dots [P_{hase2}] t \dots Ph_{2\sqrt{[EF:Q]}} \dots [P_{hase1}] t [\dots]$$

- . What is the contribution of the unvalued EF on Ph₂ in (48a)?
- . How does it satisfy Last Resort in a nonvacuous way?

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
 - . Proposal:
- (i) the unmarked situation is for a phase head to license an escape hatch A'-specifier, regardless of its specification for EF (e.g. declarative *that* in English)

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
 - . Proposal:
- (i) the default situation is for a phase head to license an escape hatch A'-specifier, regardless of its specification for EF (e.g. declarative *that* in English)
- (ii) an [EF:u] on a phase head signals its ability to license an escape hatch A'-specifier

3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads

- . Proposal:
- (i) the unmarked situation is for a phase head to license an escape hatch A'-specifier, regardless of its specification for EF (e.g. declarative *that* in English)
- (ii) an [EF:u] on a phase head signals its ability to license an escape hatch A'-specifier

(iii) if a language explicitly associates a given phase head with $[EF:u] \rightarrow$ other phase heads of the same type that are not specified for EF are not able to license an escape hatch A'-specifier.

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
 - . Complementizer allomorphy in Irish McCloskey (2002):
- (49) a. "If the clause hosts A'-binding of a trace, it is headed by the particle aL":
 - a'. an t-ainm a hinnseadh dúinn a bhí _ ar an áit the name aL was-told to-us aL was on the place 'the name that we were told was on the place'

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
 - . Complementizer allomorphy in Irish McCloskey (2002):
- (49) b. "In the absence of any A'-binding, we have (...) a form of the particle *go*":
 - b'. Creidim gu-r inis sé bréag.
 - I-believe GO-[PAST] tell he lie
 - 'I believe that he told a lie.'

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
 - . Complementizer allomorphy in Irish
- (50) a. C_{aL} : is specified for an unvalued instance of EF ([EF:u]) b. C_{go} : is not specified for EF.

(51) a. [WH ... aL ... aL ... aL ... t] b. *[WH ... aL ... go ... aL ... t]

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
- . Going Irish on the lower level: v allomorphy and EF specification
- (52) Bahasa Indonesia (Saddy 1991)
 - a. Bill men-gira Tommen-harap Fred men-cintai siapa
 Bill TRANS-thinks Tom TRANS-expects Fred TRANS-loves who
 - b. *Siapa yang Bill men-gira Tom men-harap Fred men-cintai who FOC B. TRANS-thinks T. TRANS-expects Fred TRANS-loves
 - c. Siapa yang Bill Z-kira Tom Z-harap Fred Z-cintai who FOC Bill think Tom expect Fred love 'Who did Bill think Tom expects Fred loves?'

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
- . Going Irish on the lower level: v allomorphy and EF specification
- (53) Bahasa Indonesia
 - a. v_{\emptyset} : specified for an optionally valued instance of EF. ([EF:val] or [EF:u])
 - b. v_{men} : not specified for EF.
- (54) a. [WH ... v_{\emptyset} ... v_{\emptyset} ... v_{\emptyset} ... t] b. *[WH ... v_{\emptyset} ... v_{men} ... v_{\emptyset} ... t]

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
- . Going Irish on the lower level: *v* allomorphy and EF specification Defaka (Bennett, Akinlabi, and Connell 2012):
- (55) a. ì Bòmá ésé-kà-rè

I Boma see-FUT-NEG

'I will not see Boma'

b. Bòmá ndò ì ésé-kà-rè-kè

Boma FOC I see-FUT-NEG-KE

'I will not see Boma.'

(local focus movement)

c. ándù ndò Bòmá fàà-<mark>kè</mark> [ìní été-<mark>kè</mark>] canoe FOC Boma say-KE they have-KE

'It's a canoe that Boma said they have' (nonlocal focus movement)

(discourse-neutral)

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
- . Going Irish on the lower level: *v* allomorphy and EF specification

 Defaka:

- (56) a. v_{-ke} : specified for an optionally valued instance of EF. ([EF:val] or [EF:u])
 - b. v_{\emptyset} : not specified for EF.

(57) a. [WH ... v_{-ke} ... v_{-ke} ... v_{-ke} ... t] b. *[WH ... v_{-ke} ... v_{o} ... v_{-ke} ... t]

- 3.2. Intrinsically unvalued edge features on phase heads
- . Going Irish on the lower level: v allomorphy and EF specification $v_{\text{[EF:u]}}$ is <u>not</u> restricted to object extraction
- (58) *Defaka* (Bennett, Akinlabi & Connell 2012)

 Bruce ndò Bòmá jírí-kè [á ésé-mà]

 Bruce FOC Boma know-KE her see-NFUT

 'Boma knows (that) <u>Bruce</u> saw her'
- (59) Bahasa Indonesia (Saddy 1991)

 Siapa yang Bill oberi Tom oher learn periodic learn periodic

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.1. No [EF:Q] specification
 - \rightarrow no *wh*-movement

(60) Japanese (Saito 1985)

Taroo-ga dare-ni nani-o ageta no?

Taroo-nom who-dat what-acc gave Q

'Who did Taroo give what?'

3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements

3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification

. Nunes (2021b, 2022): if a given element has an unvalued feature, it does not qualify as a proper intervener for purposes of minimality computations.

(61)
$$[\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \dots \mathbf{X}_{[\mathbf{F}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots t_{\mathbf{k}} \dots]$$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification
- (62) $[_{FocP} Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]$
- (63) a. $[_{FocP} WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]]$ OK (transparency)

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification
- (62) $[_{FocP} Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]$
- (63) a. $[_{FocP}WH_{2-[EF:Foc]}[_{Foc},Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]}... WH_{2-[EF:u]}...]]$ b. $\sqrt{[_{FocP}WH_{1-[EF:Foc]}[_{Foc},WH_{2-[EF:Foc]}[_{Foc},Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]}... WH_{2-[EF:u]}]}$ OK (equidistance)

 OK (equidistance)

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification
- (62) $[_{FocP} Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]$
- $(63) a. \ [_{FocP} WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ... \ WH_{1-[EF:u]} \ ... \ WH_{2-[EF:u]} \ ...]]$ $b. \ \sqrt{[_{FocP} WH_{1-[EF:Foc]} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ... \ WH_{1-[EF:u]} \ ... \ WH_{2-[EF:u]} \ ... }]$ $OK \ (equidistance) \ _$
- (64) a. $[_{FocP} WH_{1-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]]$ $\uparrow ___OK \text{ (no intervention)}$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification
- (62) $[_{FocP} Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]$
- (63) a. $[_{FocP}WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]]$ b. $\sqrt{[_{FocP}WH_{1-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]}]}$ OK (equidistance)

 OK (equidistance)
- (64) a. $[_{FocP}WH_{1-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]]$ b. $[_{FocP}WH_{2-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, WH_{1-[EF:Foc]} [_{Foc}, Foc ... WH_{1-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]}$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:u] specification

```
If all WH_{[EF:u]} \rightarrow . No wh-in situ
. multiple wh-fronting
. no superiority effects
```

- (65) Serbo-Croatian (Bošković 1997):
 - a. Koj šta kupuje? who what buys
 - b. Šta koj vižda?what who buys'Who bought what?'

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification
- (66) $[\mathbf{Q} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} \dots]$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification

```
(66) [Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ... ]
```

```
(67) a. [WH_{1-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q...WH_{1-[EF:Q]}...WH_{2-[EF:Q]}...]]
\uparrow \_OK \text{ (no intervention)}
```

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification
- (66) $[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]$
- (67) a. $[WH_{1-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]]$ $\uparrow _OK \text{ (no intervention)} \bot \\
 b.* [WH_{2-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[WH_{1-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]]]$ $\uparrow _ _* \text{ (minimality)} \bot$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification
- (66) $[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]$
- (67) a. $[WH_{1-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]]$ $\uparrow __OK \text{ (no intervention)} \downarrow$ b.* $[WH_{2-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[WH_{1-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q ... WH_{1-[EF:Q]} ... WH_{2-[EF:Q]} ...]]]$ $\uparrow ___ * \text{ (minimality)} \downarrow$
- (68) $*[WH_{2-\sqrt{[EF:Q]}}[Q...WH_{1-[EF:Q]}...WH_{2-[EF:Q]}...]]$

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification

```
If all WH_{[EF:Q]} \rightarrow . no wh-in situ . single wh-questions: OK
```

. multiple *wh*-questions: *

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.2. Obligatory [EF:Q] specification

who-CM saw-PART what-CM

'Who saw what?'

```
(69) a. *Cé aL rinne caidé?
                                       (Irish, McCloskey 1979)
        who C did what
       'Who did what?'
     b. *Chi há scritto che cosa?
                                       (Italian, Calabrese 1984)
         'Who has written what?'
     c. *yaa yimid goorma?
                                       (Somali, Stoyanova 2008)
        who-FM came time-which
        'Who came when?'
    d. *Wiy yzrin may?
                                        (Berber, Stoyanova 2008)
```

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.3. Optional [EF:Q] specification

```
(70) a. [Q \dots WH_1 \dots WH_2 \dots] \rightarrow OK

b. [Q \dots WH_{1-[EF:Q]} \dots WH_{2-[EF:Q]} \dots] \rightarrow *

\uparrow \underline{\qquad} * (minimality) \underline{\qquad} |
c. [Q \dots WH_1 \dots WH_{2-[EF:Q]} \dots] \rightarrow *

\uparrow \underline{\qquad} * (minimality) \underline{\qquad} |
d. [Q \dots WH_{1-[EF:Q]} \dots WH_2 \dots]

\uparrow \underline{\qquad} OK (no intervention) \underline{\qquad} |
```

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.3. Optional [EF:Q] specification

```
If allWH<sub>([EF:O])</sub> \rightarrow . wh-in situ: OK
                    . multiple wh-fronting: *
                    . multiple wh-questions: OK \rightarrow superiority
(71) a. Você acha que quem comprou o quê?
       you think that who bought what
    b. *Quem o que você acha que comprou?
        who what you think that bought
    c. *O que você acha que quem comprou?
                                                           (BP)
        what you think that who bought
    d. Quem você acha que comprou o quê?
            you think that bought what
      'Who do you think bought what?'
```

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.4. Combining [EF:val] with [EF:u]
- (72) a. $[_{FocP}\mathbf{Foc}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]}[_{TP}WH_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]}...WH_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]}...]] \rightarrow_{EF assignment}$ b. $[_{FocP}\mathbf{Foc}[_{TP}WH_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]}...WH_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]}...]]$

. <u>Derivation I (WH₂ moves first)</u>:

```
 \begin{bmatrix} _{FocP} \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ [_{TP} \ WH_{1\text{-}[EF:u]\text{-}[EF:Q]} \ \dots \ WH_{2\text{-}[EF:u]} \ \dots \\ [_{FocP} \ WH_{1\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}\text{-}[EF:Q]}} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ [_{TP} \ WH_{1\text{-}[EF:u]\text{-}[EF:Q]} \ \dots \ WH_{2\text{-}[EF:u]} \ \dots \\ [_{ForceP} \ WH_{1\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Q]}}}} \ Q \ [_{FocP} \ WH_{1\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]\text{-}[EF:Q]}}} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, FocP \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, FocP \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, FocP \ ] \ FocP \ WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{2\text{-}\sqrt{\text{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}
```

final order \rightarrow WH₁ WH₂

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.4. Combining [EF:val] with [EF:u]
- (72) a. $[_{FocP} \mathbf{Foc}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} [_{TP} \mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots]] \rightarrow_{EF assignment}$ b. $[_{FocP} \mathbf{Foc} [_{TP} \mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots]]$

. <u>Derivation II (WH₁ moves first)</u>:

```
 \begin{bmatrix} _{FocP} \ WH_{1-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]-[EF:Q]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ [_{TP} \ WH_{1-\textbf{[EF:u]-[EF:Q]}} \dots \ WH_{2-\textbf{[EF:u]}} \dots \\ [_{FocP} \ WH_{2-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{1-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]-[EF:Q]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ [_{TP} \ WH_{1-\textbf{[EF:u]-[EF:Q]}} \dots \ WH_{2-\textbf{[EF:u]}} \\ [_{ForceP} \ WH_{1-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]-V[EF:Q]}}} \ Q \ [_{FocP} \ WH_{2-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]}}} \ [_{Foc}, WH_{1-\sqrt{\textbf{[EF:Foc]-[EF:Q]}}} \ [_{Foc}, Foc \ ] \end{bmatrix}
```

final order \rightarrow WH₁ WH₂

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.4. Combining [EF:val] with [EF:u]
- (72) a. $[_{FocP} \mathbf{Foc}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} [_{TP} \mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots]] \rightarrow_{EF assignment}$ b. $[_{FocP} \mathbf{Foc} [_{TP} \mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{Q}]} \dots \mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]} \dots]]$
 - . Derivation I (WH₂ moves first): final order \rightarrow WH₁ WH₂
 - . Derivation II (WH₁ moves first): final order \rightarrow WH₁ WH₂

"superiority" effect

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.4. Combining [EF:val] with [EF:u]
- (72) a. $[_{\text{FocP}}\mathbf{Foc}_{[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{O}]}[_{\text{TP}}\mathbf{WH}_{1-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]}...\mathbf{WH}_{2-[\mathbf{EF}:\mathbf{u}]}...]] \rightarrow_{\mathbf{EF} \text{ assignment}}$ b. $[Foch Foc [TP WH_{1-[EF:u]-[EF:0]} ... WH_{2-[EF:u]} ...]]$

Serbo-Croatian (Bošković 2002):

- (73) a. Ko koga voli (74) a. Ko li koga voli who whom loves
 - b. Koga ko voli whom who loves

- who C whom loves
 - b. *Koga li ko voli whom C who loves

li_[EF:O]

- 3.3. Valued and unvalued edge features on wh-elements
- 3.3.4. Combining [EF:val] with [EF:u]

Serbo-Croatian (Bošković 2002): *li*_[EF:O]

- who whom loves
 - b. Koga ko voli whom who loves
- (73) a. Ko koga voli (74) a. Ko li koga voli who C whom loves
 - b. *Koga li ko voli whom C who loves

Bulgarian: $\emptyset_{[EF:O]}$

- (75) a. Koj kogo vižda?
 - who whom sees b. *Kogo koj vižda?

whom who sees

(Rudin 1988)

'Who sees who?'

4. Concluding remarks

. If the intermediate steps of successively cyclic movement are feature driven,

(i) Where is the feature that triggers successive cyclic A'-movement located?

(ii) Is EF obligatorily or optionally associated with its lexical host?

(ii) Is it intrinsically valued or unvalued?

6. Concluding remarks

. Unified account of:

- . why partial *wh*-movement is generally disallowed and why parasitic gaps generally cannot be licensed by *in situ wh*-phrases;
- . why adjunct control may be affected by A'-movement in some languages;
- . why some languages impose restrictions on local subject extraction, whereas others impose restrictions on local object extraction;
- . why one may usually find allomorphy affecting phase heads tied to A'-movement;
- . why this allomorphy may be exclusively related to local A'-extraction in some languages but not in others;
- . why some phase heads in some languages do not allow extraction from their domains, while others require that their domain contain an extraction site;
- . why there are languages that do not allow multiple wh-questions;
- . why languages that do not allow multiple wh-questions do not license wh-in situ.

Merci Beaucoup!

OBRIGADO!